

~~Loading of software modules~~

5

METHOD FOR OPERATING SOFTWARE MODULES

BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This application is a National Phase of
PCT/EP2004/012687, filed November 10, 2004, and claims
10 the priority of German patent document DE 103 57 118.3,
filed December 6, 2003, the disclosure of which is
expressly incorporated by reference herein.

The invention relates to a method for ~~loading~~ operating
15 a software module ~~[[into]]~~ on a processor unit in a
controller in a ~~means of transport,~~ vehicle, where the
software module ~~[[being]]~~ is executable in a plurality
of controllers and the controllers ~~and the controllers~~
~~interchanging~~ that interchange data via a data bus.

20

German patent document DE 196 31 309 A1 discloses a
microprocessor arrangement for a vehicle control system
having a plurality of microprocessor systems which are
connected to one another by bus systems.

25

~~US 5 544 054 and US 5 155 851 respectively~~ U.S. Patent
Nos. 5,544,054 and 5,155,851 each disclose a method for
loading software modules into a processor unit in a
controller. ~~In this case, the~~ The selection regarding
30 the controller on which the software module is loaded
is made ~~on the basis of~~ based on the computation
capacity of the controllers which are currently in
operation.

European patent document EP 240 145 A2 discloses a system for selecting processors for handling tasks defined by software in a multiprocessor computer system. This method, however, cannot readily be
5 transferred to a ~~means of transport on account of the vehicle~~, due to real-time requirements and computation-time limitations.

The article "fine grained mobility in the emerald system, ACM transactions on computer systems", association for computing machinery, New York, US, 1988-02-00 discloses the forwarding of identifier information, such as the state of the host, in a computer system.

15

~~It is the~~ One object of the present invention is to optimize the processor utilization level in controllers which are networked to one another.

20 ~~The invention achieves this object by means of the features of claim 1. Accordingly, a~~ This and other objects and advantages achieved by the method according to the invention, in which the selection is made regarding of the controller on which the software
25 ~~module is loaded, on the basis of~~ operated is made based on the computation capacity of the controllers which are currently in operation. ~~[[The]]~~ This selection method ensures that the software module
30 on the loaded controller for executing its processes, and is not started on a controller on which there is currently insufficient computation capacity. The selection method allows targeted utilization of free

computation capacities in a complex of controllers which can communicate with one another.

Preferably, the computation capacity of the controllers
5 is ascertained in rotation or upon request. This has
the advantage that it is known which controller
currently has how much free computation capacity. This
information can accordingly be used to control the
loading of the software module onto a particular
10 controller. The free computation capacity of a
controller is dependent on the tasks which are
currently to be handled by this controller[. This],
and is therefore subject to fluctuations. Thus, it
[[and]] needs to be communication communicated to the
15 other controllers.

Advantageously, the computation capacity of a
controller is ascertained from the processor
utilization level and the processor type, so that,
20 ~~there is the assurance that~~ even with different
processor types the free computation capacity is
determined correctly[.,,]; in particular, not only the
processor utilization level is used.

25 Preferably, the software module is started on the
controller with the maximum free computation capacity,
so that controllers with little computation capacity
are not burdened with executing the software module.

30 Preferably, the controller on which the software module
is running compares its computation capacity with the
computation capacity of the other controllers. ~~On the~~
~~basis of~~ Based on the comparison, the software module

is terminated or continued by the controller. This technique has the advantage that the software module can be turned off in the event of processor utilization level alterations on the controller.

5

Advantageously, termination of the software module prompts ascertainment of which of the other controllers provides the maximum free computation capacity. ~~In addition,~~ and the software module is started on
10 [[this]] the latter controller.

~~Advantageously,~~ It is apparent that the software module
[[is]] should be executable on each the controllers, because otherwise ~~the software module~~ it cannot be
15 loaded by the controllers. ~~In addition,~~ Moreover, because the controllers are in ongoing operation[[. The]], the software module is ~~therefore~~ loaded at the runtime of at least the operating system and possibly of further software modules which have been loaded on
20 the controller in question.

Preferably, the software module sends an identifier
[[about]] regarding its operating state and its operating controller[[,]] (that is, [[to say]] an
25 identifier for the controller on which the software module is running)]] to the data bus in rotation or upon request. This ensures that the correct operation can be checked and the software module can be influenced directly.

30

~~There are now various options for advantageously refining and developing the disclosure of the present invention. In this regard, reference is made firstly to~~

~~the subordinate claims and secondly to the explanation of an embodiment below. It is also necessary to include the advantageous refinements which are obtained from any combination of the subclaims. The drawings show an~~
 5 ~~embodiment of the inventive method and an apparatus, and, in each case in a schematic illustration,~~

Other objects, advantages and novel features of the present invention will become apparent from the
 10 following detailed description of the invention when considered in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

15 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

figure 1 ~~shows an~~ is a schematic illustration of
 apparatus for carrying out the inventive method[[,]];
 and

20 figure 2 ~~shows a~~ is a flow diagram that illustrates
 method sequence for carrying out the ~~inventive method~~
invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

25 ~~An overview of Figure 1 shows an apparatus for carrying out the inventive method as shown in figure 1 according to the invention.~~ The components ~~involved in~~ of a bus system in a ~~means of transport vehicle~~ 9 are connected to one another by means of a data bus 8. ~~The components~~
 30 ~~involved, and preferably comprise~~ include controllers, sensors and actuators. ~~In the schematic figure 1, the components are~~ The controllers 1, 3, 5 [[with]] have

appropriate software modules 2, 4, 6, 7 ~~running~~
thereon.

The operating systems ~~[[used]]~~ allow the controllers 1,
5 3, 5 (or their software modules 2, 4, 6, 7) to
communicate with one another. ~~In this case, using~~
~~established~~ standards ~~are used which are already~~
~~established~~ in the field of ~~[[the]]~~ software for
vehicles. ~~Some of these~~ Examples of such standards are
10 OSEK ~~[[-]]~~ (open systems and their interfaces for
electronics in motor vehicles), which has been ~~[[-]]~~
adopted into ISO 15765-2 (<http://www.osek-vdx.org>),
as a transport protocol between controllers, ~~[[or]]~~ and
the Keyword Protocol 2000, adopted into ISO 14230
15 (<http://www.iso.org>), for transmitting diagnostic data
and providing diagnostic services.

The communication protocol available is the Keyword
Protocol 2000 (KWP 2000), which is used in the vehicle
20 industry as a communication protocol for diagnostic
services and meets ISO 14230. Any other communication
protocol may be used, however, provided that it
performs the tasks below or meets ISO-14230.

25 ~~[[The]]~~ Each of the controllers 1, 3, 5 ~~[[have]]~~ has at
least one microcontroller with a processor, memory and
input/output unit for performing the controller
function, a communication controller for implementing
the communication protocol and a transmission/reception
30 unit for connecting to the data bus 8. The data bus 8
is in the form of a CAN data bus with appropriate
protocol functionality.

The software modules 2, 4, 6, 7 correspond to software-controlled applications ~~[[which]]~~ that run on the respective controller 1, 3, 5. ~~The controllers 1, 3, 5,~~ which are able to load a plurality of software modules.

5

The controllers 1; 3; 5 load the software modules 2; 4; 6 stored in the microcontroller's memory into their processor unit. These software modules 2; 4; 6 perform the primary tasks of the relevant controller 1; 3; 5.

10 The software module 7 may additionally also be loaded ~~[[by]]~~ onto the controllers 1, 3, 5. The software module 7 corresponds to a secondary task of the controllers 1, 3, 5. The software module 7 is likewise stored in the memory of the microcontroller in the
15 controllers 1, 3, 5.

By way of example, the controller 1 uses the software module 2 to ~~undertake~~ perform engine control as ~~[[the]]~~ its primary task, while the controller 3 uses the
20 software module 4 ~~to undertake~~ for power train control as ~~[[the]]~~ its primary task, and the controller 5 uses the software module 6 ~~to undertake control of~~ for controlling the braking system as ~~[[the]]~~ its primary task.

25

As the secondary task, the software module 7 ~~undertakes~~ performs the calculation and creation of diagnostic data, for example, which are suitable for display in the ~~means of transport 9~~ vehicle and/or storage at a
30 central location in the ~~means of transport~~ vehicle 9.

The software module 7 may be started in any controller 1, 3, 5. ~~To this end,~~ and, the controllers 1, 3, 5

support the input/output demands of the software module 7 for this purpose.

By way of example, operating data from sensors or
 5 actuators on the data bus 8[[,]] (such as oil temperature, servomotor position, etc.) are forwarded from the respective controller 1, 3, 5 as data to the software module 7.

10 The process time required by the software module 7 corresponds to the total time [[in]] during which the software module 7 used a particular processor, from the time when it was started to the execution of its task. The processor time is particularly dependent on the
 15 clock frequency of the processor type used in the microcontroller of a controller 1, 3, 5.

The controllers 1, 3, 5 operate in process cycles. That is, i.e. after a particular time has elapsed, a
 20 process cycle needs to be terminated, and the data ascertained in the process ~~need to be~~ output onto the data bus 8[[. The]], after which the process cycle [[then]] starts again. The process cycle for the controllers 1; 3; 5 is determined by the software
 25 modules 2; 4; 6 of the primary task and/or the operating system and/or the bus protocol. Accordingly, the processes which arise from the software modules 2, 4, 6 running on the processor of the respective microcontroller in the controller 1, 3, 5 are called
 30 primary processes.

When a process cycle or a process cycle time has elapsed, the controllers 1, 3, 5 send [[data]] to the

data bus 8 data which characterize their current processor utilization level, as well as the ~~[[and]]~~ processor type used. From these data, the controllers 1, 3, 5 can ascertain the utilization level of the
 5 other controllers 1, 3, 5.

The utilization level of a processor ~~as a result of handling attributed to performing~~ the primary task of a controller 1, 3, 5 is not uniform. ~~The processor's utilization level~~ varies depending on the demand from
 10 the primary task. ~~By way of~~ For example, the processor utilization level in the controller 5 as a result of the primary process is higher when braking than when not braking. Similarly, the processor utilization level
 15 of the controller 3 is higher when changing gear than when not changing gear.

The software module 7 can run on any of the various controllers 1, 3, 5. The decision regarding on which of
 20 the controllers 1, 3, 5 the software module 7 is started is dependent on the computation capacity~~[[,]]~~; that is, ~~[[to say]]~~ the processor utilization level and the processor type, of the respective controller 1, 3, 5.

25 The ~~inventive~~ method according to the invention will now be explained with reference to the flowchart shown in figure 2, ~~it subsequently being~~. It is assumed in this case that the processors in the controllers 1, 3,
 30 5 are of identical type~~[[,]]~~ (that is, ~~to say particularly in particular,~~ they have the same clock frequency), and ~~that the controllers 1, 3, 5 are in~~ ongoing operation:

~~Check 10:~~

A check is performed in step 10, to determine whether
 5 and on which controller 1, 3, 5 the software module 7
 is running. (This check needs to be performed in
~~rotation~~ repeatedly, that is [[to say]] in particular
 time periods, since each of the controllers 1, 3, 5 is
 able to turn off the software module 7 when processor
 10 utilization level is high. As soon as ~~the software~~
~~module 7~~ has been turned off, the software module 7
 needs to be started again.) The check to determine
 whether and on which controller 1, 3, 5 the software
 module 7 is running is performed by ~~virtue of~~ the
 15 software module 7 sending an appropriate identifier
 which contains these data to the data bus 8 in rotation
 or upon request.

20 ~~Decision 20:~~

~~By way of example,~~ In step 20, if an appropriate
 identifier [[for]], indicating operation of the
 software module 7 ~~was not able to be ascertained is not~~
~~found~~ on the data bus 8 in step 10, which means that it
 25 ~~is necessary to branch the process branches~~ to step
30[[.]], in which Computation capacity 30. ~~For this,~~ it
 is established which of the controllers 1, 3, 5
~~involved in~~ connected to the data bus 8 has the maximum
 free computation capacity[[, that]]. (That is [[to
 30 say]], which controller has the lowest processor
 utilization level in relation to the processor clock
 frequency.) This information can be obtained by ~~virtue~~

of the controllers 1, 3, 5 involved sending it in rotation or by means of a request. ~~By way of~~

Assuming, for example, that in step 30 the controller 3
 5 is determined currently [[needs]] to have the maximum free computation capacity. As a result, in step 40,
~~Start software module 40:~~ The software module 7 is started by the controller 3 determined in the previous step 30. ~~Software module running 50:~~ ~~As soon as the~~
 10 ~~The~~ software module 7 ~~has been started correctly, it~~ then sends an identifier [[about]] indicating its operation state (that is, that it is operating) and its operating controller~~[[,]]~~ (that is, [[to say]] the controller on which the software module 7 is
 15 running[[,]] to the data bus 8 in rotation or upon request and the process returns to step 10.

~~Check 10:~~

~~The check in rotation ascertains~~ In step 10, it is
 20 determined once again whether and, if appropriate, which identifier for the software module 7 is present on the data bus. ~~Because Decision 20:~~ ~~Since step 10 shows that~~ the software module 7 is running on controller 3, ~~it is necessary to branch the process~~
 25 branches to step 60~~[[.]], in which Decision 60:~~ The controller 3 ascertains its own current processor utilization level within a process cycle, and compares it with the current computation capacity of the other controllers 1, 2 within a process cycle. To this end,
 30 it either requests the information regarding computation capacity~~[[,]]~~ (that is, [[to say]] processor utilization level and processor type~~[[,]]~~

from the controllers 1, 2, or the controllers send this information to the data bus 8 in rotation.

If the utilization level of the processor in the controller 3 is lower ~~in comparison with the utilization level~~ than that of the processors in the other controllers 1, 2, no action occurs~~[[. The]]~~, and the software module 7 continues to run on the controller 3. The branch process returns to checking step 10 ~~is effected~~ in rotation.

~~[[If]]~~ However, if it is found in step 60 that the utilization level of the processor in the controller 3 is higher ~~in comparison with the utilization level~~ than ~~that~~ of the processors in one of the other controllers 1, 2, ~~the branch to in step 70 is effected. Turn off software module 70. The, the software module 7 in the controller 3 is turned off. In addition, the controller 3 uses its data to ascertain the controller 1, 2 with the currently maximum free computation capacity. This ~~[[will]]~~ might be the controller 1, ~~by way of for example[[.]]~~, in which case the ~~Start software module 40. The~~ software module 7 is started by the controller 1, thus determined ~~in the previous step 70.~~~~

~~Software module running 50.~~

As soon as the software module 7 has been started correctly, in step 50 it sends to the data bus 8 (in rotation or upon request) an identifier indicating that ~~and on which~~ it is running, as well as the controller on which it is running ~~to the data bus 8 in rotation or upon request.~~

It is also possible for a plurality of different software modules to be distributed over the controllers 1, 3, 5 as secondary tasks. In addition, the controllers 1, 3, 5 may also perform a plurality of
5 primary tasks.

The inventive method is preferably implemented at the operating system level of the controllers 1, 3, 5.

10 The data bus 8 may also be provided, ~~by way of~~ for example, in the form of a FlexRay bus, ~~in the form of~~ an optical MOST or D2B bus, ~~or in the form of~~ an electrical LIN bus in ~~a means of transport,~~ particularly a vehicle.

15

Advantageously, the inventive method may also be used in safety-related systems in vehicles. To increase failsafety, these systems are of redundant design, so that if a controller fails, for example, it is possible
20 to change over to a controller of redundant design. Hence, systems of redundant design contain a plurality of controllers of the same type on which the same primary process runs, namely the redundant software application ~~of redundant design~~. The necessary
25 similarity of the controllers ~~of redundant design~~ implies that a software module which can be executed on one ~~of these~~ such controllers ~~of redundant design~~ can also be executed on the associated other controllers, as well. ~~belonging to the system of redundant design.~~
30 This may be used for the application of the ~~inventive~~ method according to this invention, by virtue of coordinate software applications ~~additionally~~ that are also running on a controller in the redundant system.

In the method described ~~hitherto~~ above, the processor power of the controllers 1, 3, 5 is ~~in a form~~ such that the software module 7 for the respective primary task
5 of the controller 1, 3, 5 can always be connected in, without the primary process having to dispense with process time. ~~[[This]]~~ The primary process therefore always receives priority over all other processes which are running on the processor. Should this not be the
10 case, it is ~~additionally~~ necessary to check in step 60 and in step 30 whether the free computation capacity available on the respective controller 1, 3, 5 is sufficient for handling the secondary task. ~~Should this~~
~~If not, be the case,~~ the software module 7 cannot be
15 started in the relevant controller. For this calculation, the controllers 1, 3, 5 require advance knowledge of the process time for the software module 7 for a particular process type.

20 The ~~inventive~~ method according to the invention may likewise be applied if the processor types in the controllers 1, 3, 5 are different. When the free computation capacity is determined, it is then
25 necessary to take account not only of the processor utilization level but also of the processor type, that is to say particularly of the processor clock frequency.

The ~~inventive~~ method can also be extended to controllers whose microcontrollers have a plurality of processors.

The ~~inventive~~ method according to the invention may also be controlled by means of a central controller[. This], which has the advantage that the central controller can distribute the appropriate software
5 application to the controller in step 40 in addition to the decision and computation steps 20, 60, 30.

The foregoing disclosure has been set forth merely to illustrate the invention and is not intended to be limiting. Since modifications of the disclosed embodiments incorporating the spirit and substance of
5 the invention may occur to persons skilled in the art, the invention should be construed to include everything within the scope of the appended claims and equivalents thereof.

10